

ARLINGTON, VA 22201

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/817,595	04/22/1997	ANGELA TURIANO	MARGI-15 8416	
7590 01/10/2005			EXAMINER	
MILLEN WHITE ZELANO & BRANIGAN			YAEN, CHRISTOPHER H	
ARLINGTON (	COURTHOUSE PLAZA	I	<u> </u>	
SUITE 1201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2200 CLARENDON BOLLEVARD			1642	

DATE MAILED: 01/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	08/817,595	TURIANO, ANGELA			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Christopher H Yaen	1642			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 02 November 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP			
ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension see under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>16 September 2004</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	` ' ——				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
i.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>25-34,36-41 and 44</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. ☐ Other:					
		Christopher Yaen Art Unit 1642			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arguments are not deemed persuasive to overcome the rejection of record. In particular, applicant argues that the cited reference does not provided sufficient motivation to combine the elements of the invention into a single kit. Applicant's arguments have been carefully considered but are not deemed persuasive because as stated in the prior office action (mailed 6/16/2004), extracts from other animals where known to elicit the same effects and were made in a similar manner. The combination of known elements into a single invention is deemed obvious (see In re Kerkhoven) unless the combination of elements produces an unexpected result or property. In this case, applicant has not taught how th combination of two known elements which are described by Bartorelli et al as having the same effect or property, would be different or unexpected. Therefore the rejection of the claims under 35 US 103(a) as being obvious is maintained for the reasons of record.

JEFFREY SIEW
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

2